

Procedural Review Voting Sheet 2013 Cycle 1

REGION: **NA**
 COMMITTEE: Silicon Wafer
 EVENT: **NA Spring**
 DATE OF MEETING: April 2, 2013
 PLACE OF MEETING: Intel in Santa Clara, CA
 COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Dinesh Gupta (STA) and Noel Poduje (SMS)
 SEMI STAFF: Kevin Nguyen

A&R Voter: Name/Company
 Date: 200X/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

5450A	Revision to SEMI M49-0912, with Title Change to: Guide for Specifying Geometry Measurement Systems for Silicon Wafers for the 130 nm to 16 nm Technology Generations
--------------	---

II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

Voting Tally: **As-cast tally after close of voting period**

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	<input type="text" value="56"/>	÷	<input type="text" value="93"/>	=	<input type="text" value="60.2%"/>	>=60%
Lilac & Others	<input type="text" value="30"/>					
Total Vote	<input type="text" value="86"/>					
Reject	<input type="text" value="0"/>					
Accept	<input type="text" value="29"/>					

A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

III. Rejects

There was no reject.

IV. Comments

Comment 1

Comment	Referenced Section	*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary	
	From	John Valley (MEMC)	
	Comment	<p>We need this document. However, there are significant issues such as:</p> <p>1) MF1530 being referenced for flatness and other anachronisms 2) 200mm being included with 'spatial frequency' requirements that are not possible to meet by the tools being used in production 3) the use of spatial frequency to quantify what is more appropriately quantified by data pitch on the X Y or R Theta map</p>	
	Discussion	Comments are valid. However, new SNARFs need to be pursued by the voter.	
Action proposed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions.	
		*No motion is required in this step.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No further action was taken by the committee.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refer to the task force for more consideration.	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New Business	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	
	Editorial Change		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Case 1: No vote in this section :	
		To be included and voted on in <u>§ 5. Summary of Editorial Changes.</u>	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Case 2: Voted in this section :	
	Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.		
1	FROM: Section xxx		
	To: Section xxx		
	Justification (If necessary)		
2	FROM: Section xxx		

		To: Section xxx
		Justification (If necessary)
Motion by/2nd	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
Vote	XX-XX Motion passed (or failed)	
A&R		Not approved
	Reason:	

V. Summary of Editorial Changes

No editorial change was proposed.

VI. Approval Conditions Check

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, or not persuasive. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of the valid accept and reject votes must be accept. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.3)

Note: if both approval conditions are not satisfied, the document fails.

		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid				
Approval Rate	=	<input type="text" value="29"/>	/	<input type="text" value="29"/>	=	<input type="text" value="100.0%"/>	>=	90%

A&R		Not approved
	Reason:	

VII. Safety Check

See § 14 of the Regulations for further information

Motion:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety Checklist (Regulations ¶ 14.3) is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process.
Motion by/2nd by		Jaydeep Sinha (KLA-Tencor)/Mike Goldstein (Intel)
Discussion		None
Vote		8-0 Motion passed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

VIII. Intellectual Property Check

Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information

x	The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known	GO TO SECTION IX
<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee.	GO TO SECTION IX
<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee	
MOTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ask ISC for special permission to publish
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Quit activity
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wait for LOA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.
Motion by/2 nd by		Name (Company)/Name (Company)
Discussion		XXXX
Vote		XX-XX
Final Action		<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Failed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

IX. Action for this document

Motion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and work will be discontinued.
Motion by/2nd by		Jaydeep Sinha (KLA-Tencor)/Mike Goldstein (Intel)
Discussion		None
Vote		8-0
Final Action	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed
A&R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Approved
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	