

Procedural Review Voting Sheet 2011 Cycle 6

REGION: **Japan**

COMMITTEE: Japan Packaging Committee

EVENT: **Japan Standards Fall Meetings 2011**

DATE OF MEETING: 2011/11/25

PLACE OF MEETING: SEMI Japan Office, Tokyo

COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Kazunori Kato/ AiT, Haruo/ Osaka University, Yutaka Koma/
Consultant

SEMI STAFF: Hirofumi Kanno

A&R Voter: Name/Company

Date: 200X/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

Document 4814A	Document Title New Standard: Specification For Tape Frame Cassette For 450 mm Wafer
---------------------------	--

II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	29	÷	48	=	60.4%	>=60%
Lilac & Others	9					
Total Vote	38					
Reject	1					
Accept	22					

A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

III. Rejects

Reject 1 (Stefan Radloff / Intel)

Negative 1 of Reject 1

Negative	Referenced Section	*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary		
		5.4		
Negative	Reason	*Original negative comment and justification should be included.		
		<p>While the document describes how to make a TFC, it does not include important elements regarding how a TFC must work in a factory. Automation flange requirements that are missing (if the optional flange is implemented) include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the flange must include a centering feature in the middle of the flange 2. the zone bounded by d2 and d3 must be flat and at a height of z4 to provide a flat surface for presence sensing. (it is NOT necessary for the entire top surface of the flange to be at z4 – this adds complexity and cost) 3. there can be no obstructions or other features anywhere on the top of the carrier for a height of z9. <p>Please also see SEMI E158 (450 FOUNDRY), section 8, as an example.</p>		
Withdrawal		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No withdrawal made	GO TO “Related” section	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawal document received by staff on XXXX	GO TO “Final” → (A)	
Related	Motion and Reason	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> “Related” is mutually agreed upon.		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> *This motion can be appended to the motion for Persuasive (See Persuasive Section)		
		<input type="checkbox"/> Negative is related (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)		
		<input type="checkbox"/> Negative is not related (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)		
		Reason	XXXX	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
Discussion				
Result of Vote (check ONE)		XX-XX		
	<input type="checkbox"/> [Negative is related] > 1/3	GO TO “Persuasive”		
	<input type="checkbox"/> [Negative is not related] < 2/3			
	<input type="checkbox"/> 2/3=< [Negative is not related]	GO TO “Final” → (B)		
<input type="checkbox"/>				
Persuasive	Motion and Reason	<input type="checkbox"/> Negative is related and persuasive (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negative is related and not persuasive (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)		
		Reason	This document might be poorly-written. However, it defines the essential items as a Standard document. Therefore, we consider it is unnecessary to emend the standard. (このドキュメントは、分かりにくいかもしれないが、スタンダードとして必要最低限の定義はされている。従って、このスタンダードを修正する必要はないと考えます。)	

Motion by/2nd by		Sumio Masuchi (DISCO)/ Kiyofumi Tanaka (Shin-Etsu Polymer)		
Discussion		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There was one opinion that the TF need to develop a high-quality Standard document including the content that the negative indicated. (指摘のある通りの内容を取り込む完成度の高いスタンダードを TF は開発する必要があるという意見があった。) This document contains necessary information (requirements) as the Standard. As for the indicated content, The TF will develop it as related information. (スタンダードとしては必要な要件は含まれている。指摘される内容に関して、TF は、別途関連情報として作業します。) The indicated content is out of the scope and is the optional request. (指摘内容は、オプションに対する要求なので、Scope 外である。) 		
Result of Vote (check ONE)		10 – 1		
		[Negative is related and persuasive] > 1/3	GO TO “Final” → (E)	
		[Negative is related and not persuasive] < 2/3		
		2/3=<[Negative is related and not persuasive] <90%	GO TO “Final” → (C)	
	X	90% =< [Negative is related and not persuasive]	GO TO “Not Significant Finding Option”	
Not Significant Finding Option	This option can only be used “if the committee finds a negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action”. (Regulations ¶ 9.5.3.3.2)			
		X	It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative “not significant”	GO TO → (D)
			It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative “significant”	GO TO → (C)
	Motion		The negative is “not significant”.	
	Motion by/2nd by		Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
	Vote		XX-XX Motion passed with simple majority	GO TO → (D)
		XX-XX Motion failed with simple majority	GO TO → (C)	
Final	Negative is:			
		(A)	withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)	
		(B)	not related (counted under i in disposition)	
		(C)	related and not persuasive (significant)	
		X (D)	not significant (counted under j in disposition)	
		(E)	related and persuasive	DOCUMENT FAILS
	Comment generated. See comment #x			
A&R		Not approved		
	Reason:			

Negative 2 of Reject 1

Negative	Referenced Section	*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary	
		5.9.1	
Negative	Reason	*Original negative comment and justification should be included.	
		Key requirements of the KCP groove are missing from the standard: 1. required depth (from SEMI E158, FOUP standard, <21mm) 2. wall angle (from SEMI E158, FOUP standard, $\theta = 45^\circ$)	
Withdrawal		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No withdrawal made	GO TO "Related" section
		Withdrawal document received by staff on XXXX	GO TO "Final" → (A)
Related	Motion and Reason	"Related" is mutually agreed upon.	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	*This motion can be appended to the motion for Persuasive (See Persuasive Section)
		Negative is related (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)	
		Negative is not related (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)	
		Reason	XXXX
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
	Discussion		
Result of Vote (check ONE)	XX-XX		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is related] > 1/3	GO TO "Persuasive"
	<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is not related] < 2/3	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	2/3=< [Negative is not related]	GO TO "Final" → (B)
<input type="checkbox"/>			
Persuasive	Motion and Reason	Negative is related and persuasive (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related and not persuasive (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)
		Reason	Since the detailed figure of KC grooves are to be discussed by the cassette manufacturers, so it is not to define in the standard.
	Motion by/2nd by	Sumio Masuchi (DISCO)/ Kiyofumi Tanaka (Shin-Etsu Polymer)	
	Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Question: For the detailed information about KC grooves, can not the TF define it now? Or, will the TF define it when the data will be gathered in the future? (KC grooves の詳細について、決めないのか？もしくは、今は決められないが将来データが揃った時点できめるのか？) Answer from the TF: For now, at least, the TF can not define it. (少なくとも今の段階では数値を決めることができない。) This document contains necessary information. 	
Result of Vote (check ONE)	10-1		
	<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is related and persuasive] > 1/3	GO TO "Final" → (E)
<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is related and not persuasive] < 2/3		

		2/3=<[Negative is related and not persuasive] <90%	GO TO “Final” → (C)
	X	90% =< [Negative is related and not persuasive]	GO TO “Not Significant Finding Option”
Not Significant Finding Option	This option can only be used “if the committee finds a negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action”. (Regulations ¶ 9.5.3.3.2)		
	X	It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative “not significant”	GO TO → (D)
		It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative “significant”	GO TO → (C)
	Motion	The negative is “not significant”.	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
	Vote		XX-XX Motion passed with simple majority
		XX-XX Motion failed with simple majority	GO TO → (C)
Final	Negative is:		
		(A) withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)	
		(B) not related (counted under i in disposition)	
		(C) related and not persuasive (significant)	
	X	(D) not significant (counted under j in disposition)	
		(E) related and persuasive	DOCUMENT FAILS
	Comment generated. See comment #x		
A&R		Not approved	
		Reason:	

Negative 3 of Reject 1

Negative	Referenced Section	*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary 5.9.1
	Reason	*Original negative comment and justification should be included.
		While the document describes how to make a TFC, it does not include important elements regarding how a TFC must work in a factory. KCP groove requirements that are missing include: 1. description of the minimum groove length 2. the shape and surface finish of the groove shall ensure that the TFC will seat fully on the KCPs when there is an offset (lead-in error) up to 15mm in any direction, allowing an empty, partially filled, or full carrier to seat completely on the KCPs 3. there is no figure or drawing in the standard that shows the groove (see SEMI E158, Figure 9). Figures 10 and 11 are misleading, since they appear to show holes where the KCPs are vs. grooves as required.

Withdrawal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No withdrawal made	GO TO “Related” section	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Withdrawal document received by staff on XXXX	GO TO “Final” → (A)	
Related	Motion and Reason	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	“Related” is mutually agreed upon. *This motion can be appended to the motion for Persuasive (See Persuasive Section)	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Negative is not related (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Reason XXXX	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
	Discussion			
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	<input type="checkbox"/>	XX-XX	
<input type="checkbox"/>		[Negative is related] > 1/3	GO TO “Persuasive”	
<input type="checkbox"/>		[Negative is not related] < 2/3		
<input type="checkbox"/>		2/3=< [Negative is not related]	GO TO “Final” → (B)	
<input type="checkbox"/>				
Persuasive	Motion and Reason	<input type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related and persuasive (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related and not persuasive (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reason	Since the detailed figure of KC grooves are to be discussed by the cassette manufacturers, so it is not to define in the standard.	
	Motion by/2nd by	Sumio Masuchi (DISCO)/ Kiyofumi Tanaka (Shin-Etsu Polymer)		
	Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Question: For the detailed information about KC grooves, can not the TF define it now? Or, will the TF define it when the data will be gathered in the future? (KC grooves の詳細について、決めないのか？もしくは、今は決められないが将来データが揃った時点できめるのか？) • Answer from the TF: For now, at least, the TF can not define it. (少なくとも今の段階では数値を決めることができない。) • This document contains necessary information. 		
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	<input type="checkbox"/>	11 - 1	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is related and persuasive] > 1/3	GO TO “Final” → (E)
<input type="checkbox"/>		[Negative is related and not persuasive] < 2/3		
<input type="checkbox"/>		2/3=<[Negative is related and not persuasive] <90%	GO TO “Final” → (C)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	90% =< [Negative is related and not persuasive]	GO TO “Not Significant Finding Option”		

Not Significant Finding Option	This option can only be used “if the committee finds a negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action”. (Regulations ¶ 9.5.3.3.2)			
		X	It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative “not significant”	GO TO → (D)
			It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative “significant”	GO TO → (C)
	Motion		The negative is “not significant”.	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
	Vote		XX-XX Motion passed with simple majority	GO TO → (D)
		XX-XX Motion failed with simple majority	GO TO → (C)	
Final	Negative is:			
		(A)	withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)	
		(B)	not related (counted under i in disposition)	
		(C)	related and not persuasive (significant)	
		X (D)	not significant (counted under j in disposition)	
		(E)	related and persuasive	DOCUMENT FAILS
	Comment generated. See comment #x			
A&R		Not approved		
	Reason:			

Negative 4 of Reject 1

Negative	Referenced Section	*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary 5.9.2		
	Reason	*Original negative comment and justification should be included.		
		While the document describes how to make a TFC and TFC loadport, it does not include important elements regarding how a TFC and the TFC loadport must work in a factory. KCP requirements that are missing include: 1. KCP surface finish roughness is not specified. If the pin is too rough it will wear and damage the TFC KC groove, which causes the wafer plane to be incorrect and the TFC will not work in the factory. 2. KC pins are used as sets with two pins in each set, where the outer pins are designated for use on a load port, the secondary/inner set for use on a robot arm or other material handling device. KCP grooves on the TFC must accommodate and capture both the primary and secondary KC pins.		
		Please also see SEMI E158 (450 FOUP), section 9, as an example.		
Withdrawal	X	No withdrawal made	GO TO “Related” section	
		Withdrawal document received by staff on XXXX	GO TO “Final” → (A)	
Ⓜ	Motion and	X	“Related” is mutually agreed upon.	

		*This motion can be appended to the motion for Persuasive (See Persuasive Section)		
		Negative is related (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)		
		Negative is not related (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)		
		Reason	XXXX	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)		
	Discussion			
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	XX-XX		
			[Negative is related] > 1/3	GO TO "Persuasive"
			[Negative is not related] < 2/3	
			2/3=< [Negative is not related]	GO TO "Final" → (B)
Persuasive	Motion and Reason	Negative is related and persuasive (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)		
		X	Negative is related and not persuasive (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)	
		Reason	Since the detailed figures of KCP are to be discussed by the cassette manufacturers, so it is not to define in the standard.	
	Motion by/2nd by	Sumio Masuchi (DISCO)/ Kiyofumi Tanaka (Shin-Etsu Polymer)		
	Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Question: For the detailed information about KC grooves, can not the TF define it now? Or, will the TF define it when the data will be gathered in the future? (KC grooves の詳細について、決めないのか? もしくは、今は決められないが将来データが揃った時点できめるのか?) • Answer from the TF: For now, at least, the TF can not define it. (少なくとも今の段階では数値を決めることができない。) • This document contains necessary information. 		
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	11-1		
			[Negative is related and persuasive] > 1/3	GO TO "Final" → (E)
			[Negative is related and not persuasive] < 2/3	
			2/3=<[Negative is related and not persuasive] <90%	GO TO "Final" → (C)
	X	90% =< [Negative is related and not persuasive]	GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option"	
Not Significant Finding Option	This option can only be used "if the committee finds a negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action". (Regulations ¶ 9.5.3.3.2)			
	X	It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative "not significant"	GO TO → (D)	
		It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative "significant"	GO TO → (C)	

	Motion	The negative is "not significant".	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
	Vote	XX-XX Motion passed with simple majority	GO TO → (D)
		XX-XX Motion failed with simple majority	GO TO → (C)
Final	Negative is:		
	(A)	withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)	
	(B)	not related (counted under i in disposition)	
	(C)	related and not persuasive (significant)	
	X (D)	not significant (counted under j in disposition)	
	(E)	related and persuasive	DOCUMENT FAILS
	Comment generated. See comment #x		
A&R		Not approved	
	Reason:		

Disposition of Reject 1

4	Original number of Negatives	(g)	
	# of Negatives withdrawn	(h)	
	# of Negatives found not related	(i)	
4	# of Negatives found not significant	(j)	
Final	X	$g-(h+i+j)=0$	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject is Not Valid and is not included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check
		$g-(h+i+j)>0$	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject is included in the denominator of § VI. Approval Conditions Check
		Reject without a Negative	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Valid

Note: If all of the negative material included with a reject vote is withdrawn, determined to be not related, or determined to be not significant, the reject vote is not valid. (Regulations ¶ 9.4.3.3)

A&R		Not approved
	Reason:	

IV. Comments

Comment 1

Comment	Referenced Section	*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary
	From	Rafael Vargas-Bernal (Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Irapuato)
	Comment	For 4814A In subsection 4.2.5 change "orthgonal" by "orthogonal". Add "end points" to subsections 4.2.9 and 4.2.10.

		Discussion
Action proposed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions. *No motion is required in this step.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No further action was taken by the committee.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refer to the task force for more consideration.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	New Business
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other
	Editorial Change	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Case 1: No vote in this section : To be included and voted on in § 5. Summary of Editorial Changes.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Case 2: Voted in this section : Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in “FROM” and “TO” fields.
	1	FROM: Section 4.2.2.5 <i>horizontal plane (HP)</i> – a horizontal plane, defining z=0 of a system with three orthogonal planes (HP, BP, FP), coincident with the nominal location of the uppermost points (tips) of the three KCP. To: Section 4.2.2.5 <i>horizontal plane (HP)</i> – a horizontal plane, defining z=0 of a system with three orthogonal planes (HP, BP, FP), coincident with the nominal location of the uppermost points (tips) of the three KCP. Justification (If necessary)
	2	FROM: Section 4.2.9 front (of carrier) — the side that is facing to the equipment and allows the tape frame input and extraction To: Section 4.2.9 front (of carrier) — the side that is facing to the equipment and allows the tape frame input and extraction. Justification (If necessary)
	3	FROM: Section 4.2.10 rear (of carrier) — the furthest side from the equipment To: Section 4.2.10 rear (of carrier) — the furthest side from the equipment. Justification (If necessary)

Motion by/2nd	Sumio Masuchi (DISCO)/ Kiyofumi Tanaka (Shin-Etsu Polymer)
Vote	11-0 Motion passed
A&R	Not approved
	Reason:

Comment 2

Comment	Referenced Section	2.3
	From	Stefan Radloff / Intel
	Comment	Fix abbreviations to align with section 4: PGV and AGV (currently PVG and AVG are used)
	Discussion	
Action proposed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions. *No motion is required in this step.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No further action was taken by the committee.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refer to the task force for more consideration.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	New Business
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other
	Editorial Change	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Case 1: No vote in this section : To be included and voted on in § 5. Summary of Editorial Changes.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Case 2: Voted in this section : Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in “FROM” and “TO” fields.
	1	FROM: Section 2.3 This standard specifies both semi-automated (PVG) and automated (AVG or OHT) handling of the tape frame cassette for 450 mm wafer. It does not intend to address manual handling.
		To: Section 2.3 This standard specifies both semi-automated (PGV) and automated (AGV or OHT) handling of the tape frame cassette for 450 mm wafer. It does not intend to address manual handling.
Justification (if necessary)		

	2	FROM: Section xxx
		To: Section xxx
		Justification (If necessary)
Motion by/2nd	Sumio Masuchi (DISCO)/ Kiyofumi Tanaka (Shin-Etsu Polymer)	
Vote	11-0 Motion passed	
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
		Reason:

Comment 3

Comment	Referenced Section	Figure 4
	From	Stefan Radloff / Intel
	Comment	For clarity, please add text in red/italics to the figure note: This TFRB is shown in the down <i>or locked position</i> .
	Discussion	
Action proposed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The committee agreed to do one of the following actions.
		*No motion is required in this step.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No further action was taken by the committee.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Refer to the task force for more consideration.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	New Business
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other
	Editorial Change	
	Case 1: No vote in this section :	
	To be included and voted on in <u>§ 5. Summary of Editorial Changes</u>.	
	Case 2: Voted in this section :	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Original section number and at least one full sentence are required in "FROM" and "TO" fields.	

FROM: Section **Figure 4**

1




Figure 4
Tape Frame Slot Detail View

		<p>To: Section Figure 4</p>	
		<p>Justification (if necessary)</p>	
2	<p>FROM: Section xxx</p>		
	<p>To: Section xxx</p>		
	<p>Justification (if necessary)</p>		
<p>Motion by/2nd</p>		<p>Sumio Masuchi (DISCO)/ Kiyofumi Tanaka (Shin-Etsu Polymer)</p>	
<p>Vote</p>		<p>11-0 Motion passed</p>	
<p>A&R</p>		<p>Not approved</p>	
		<p>Reason:</p>	

V. Summary of Editorial Changes

None

VI. Approval Conditions Check

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, or not persuasive. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of the valid accept and reject votes must be accept. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.3)

Note: if both approval conditions are not satisfied, the document fails.

Approval Rate	=	<input type="text" value="22"/>	/	<input type="text" value="22"/>	=	<input type="text" value="100.0%"/>	>=90%
		Accepts		(Accepts + Valid)			

A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
		Reason:

VII. Safety Check

See § 14 of the Regulations for further information

Motion:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety Checklist (Regulations ¶ 14.3) is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process.
Motion by/2nd by		Sumio Masuchi (DISCO)/ Kiyofumi Tanaka (Shin-Etsu Polymer)
Discussion		None
Vote		11-0 Motion passed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
		Reason:

VIII. Intellectual Property Check

Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information

X	The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline.	
X	No potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known	GO TO SECTION IX
	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee.	GO TO SECTION IX
	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee	
MOTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ask ISC for special permission to publish
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Quit activity
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wait for LOA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)
	Discussion	XXXX
	Vote	XX-XX
	Final Action	<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Failed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

IX. Action for this document

Motion	X	This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
		This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
		This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.	
		This document failed committee review and work will be discontinued.	
	Motion by/2nd by	Sumio Masuchi (DISCO)/ Kiyofumi Tanaka (Shin-Etsu Polymer)	
	Discussion	None	
	Vote	11-0	
	Final Action	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Approved	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved	
	Reason:		