

Procedural Review Voting Sheet 2013 Cycle 1

REGION: Japan
 COMMITTEE: Japan Liquid Chemicals Committee
 EVENT: Japan Standards Spring Meetings 2013
 DATE OF MEETING: 2013/4/5
 PLACE OF MEETING: SEMI Japan Office, Tokyo
 COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Hiroshi Tomita/ Toshiba, Kenji Sugimoto/ Dainippon Screen Mfg.
 SEMI STAFF: Naoko Tejima

A&R Voter: Name/Company
 Date: 200X/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

Document 5371	New Standard: Test Method for Tensile Strength Applied to Welded Connections Made by PFA Weld Fitting
----------------------	--

II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	44	÷	69	=	63.8%	>=60%
Lilac & Others	21					
Total Vote	65					
Reject	0					
Accept	26					

A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

III. Rejects

None.

IV. Comments

None.

V. Summary of Editorial Changes

None.

VI. Approval Conditions Check

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, or not persuasive. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of the valid accept and reject votes must be accept. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.3)

Note: if both approval conditions are not satisfied, the document fails.

		A c c e p t s		(A c c e p t s + V a l i d			
Approval Rate	=	26	/	26	=	100.0%	>=90 %

A&R	Not approved
Reason:	

VII. Safety Check

See § 14 of the Regulations for further information

Motion:	X	This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete.
		This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete.
		Safety Checklist (Regulations ¶ 14.3) is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process.
Motion by/2nd by		Kimihito Sasao (Advance Electric Company) / Takashi Hasegawa (KITZ SCT)
Discussion		None
Vote		15-0 Motion passed
A&R	Not approved	
		Reason:

VIII. Intellectual Property Check

Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known	GO TO SECTION IX
<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee.	GO TO SECTION IX
<input type="checkbox"/>	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee	
MOTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ask ISC for special permission to publish
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Quit activity
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wait for LOA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.
Motion by/2 nd by		Name (Company) / Name (Company)
Discussion		XXXX
Vote		XX-XX
Final Action		<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Failed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

IX. Action for this document

MOTION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and work will be discontinued.	
Motion by/2 nd by		Kimihiro Sasao (Advance Electric Company) / Takashi Hasegawa (KITZ SCT)	
Discussion		None	
Vote		15-0 Motion passed	
Final Action		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Approved	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved	
	Reason:		