

Procedural Review Voting Sheet 2011 Cycle 6

REGION: **NA**
 COMMITTEE: Silicon Wafer
 EVENT: **NA Fall Standards Meetings**
 DATE OF MEETING: October 25, 2011
 PLACE OF MEETING: Intel, Santa Clara, CA
 COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Dinesh Gupta (STA), Noel Poduje (SMS)
 SEMI STAFF: Kevin Nguyen

A&R Voter: Name/Company
 Date: 200X/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

5085	Revision of SEMI MF374-0307, Test Method for Sheet Resistance of Silicon Epitaxial, Diffused, Polysilicon, and Ion-implanted Layers Using an In-Line Four-Point Probe with the Single-Configuration Procedure
-------------	---

II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	51	÷	85	=	60.0%	>=60%
Lilac & Others	3					
Total Vote	54					
Reject	0					
Accept	15					

A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

III. Rejects

There was no reject.

IV. Comments

There was no comment submitted

V. Summary of Editorial Changes

No editorial change was proposed.

VI. Approval Conditions Check

APPROVAL CONDITION 1: All negatives have been discussed and were withdrawn, found not related, or not persuasive. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.2)

APPROVAL CONDITION 2: At least 90% of the sum of the valid accept and reject votes must be accept. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.3)

Note: if both approval conditions are not satisfied, the document fails.

Approval Rate	=	Accepts	/	(Accepts + Valid)	=	100.0%	>=90%
		15		15		100.0%	

A&R	Not approved
	Reason:

VII. Safety Check

See § 14 of the Regulations for further information

Motion:	x	This is not a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is still technically sound and complete.
		This is a Safety Document: when all safety-related information is removed, the document is not technically sound and complete.
		Safety Checklist (Regulations ¶ 14.3) is complete and has been included with the document throughout the balloting process.
Motion by/2nd by		Dinesh Gupta (STA)/Mike Goldstein (Intel)
Discussion		None
Vote		6-0 Motion passed
A&R	Not approved	

	Reason:
--	----------------

VIII. Intellectual Property Check

Note: This ballot may be all or part of a Standard or Safety Guideline. This IP check applies to the entire Standard or Safety Guideline. See § 15 of the Regulations for further information

x	The meeting chair asked those present in person or by electronic link, if they were aware of any potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items* in the Standard or Guideline.	
x	No potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known	GO TO SECTION IX
	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but a Letter of Assurance (LOA) or copyright release for such material has been obtained or presented to the committee.	GO TO SECTION IX
	Potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items are known but an LOA or copyright release for some of the material(s) has NOT been obtained or presented to the committee	
MOTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ask ISC for special permission to publish
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Quit activity
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wait for LOA for patented technology or release of copyrighted items.
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)
	Discussion	XXXX
	Vote	XX-XX
	Final Action	<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> Motion Failed
A&R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not approved
	Reason:	

* Note: Such potentially material patented technology or copyrighted items might have become known since the Standard or Safety Guideline was last reviewed, or might become relevant due to this ballot.

IX. Action for this document

Motion	x	This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.
		This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.
		This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.
		This document failed committee review and work will be discontinued.
	Motion by/2nd by	Dinesh Gupta (STA)/Mike Goldstein (Intel)
	Discussion	None
	Vote	6-0
	Final Action	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Motion passed
		<input type="checkbox"/> Motion failed
A&R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Approved

	Not approved
	Reason: