

Procedural Review Voting Sheet 200X Cycle X

REGION: **Korea**
 COMMITTEE: Information and Control
 EVENT: **None**
 DATE OF MEETING: April 13, 2012
 PLACE OF MEETING: Seoul, Korea
 COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Kevin Lee/Miracom Inc, Chulhong Ahn/SK hynix, Hyungsu Kim/Samsung SDS
 SEMI STAFF: Natalie Shim

A&R Voter: Name/Company
 Date: 200X/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

Document 5320	Document Title: Revision to SEMI E116-0707E, Specification for Equipment Performance Tracking
--------------------------	--

II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

Voting Tally: **As-cast tally after close of voting period**

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	54	÷	90	=	60.0%	>=60%
Lilac & Others	5					
Total Vote	59					
Reject	12					
Accept	23					

A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

III. Rejects

Reject 1 Gino Crispieri (ISMI)

Negative 1 of Reject 1

Negative	Referenced Section	*TF/Committee to fill in if necessary	
	Reason	<p>*Original negative comment and justification should be included.</p> <p>Although I agree with the availability of Recipe and wafer parameter information, I do not agree the addition of the other two parameters: TaskExpectedTime and TaskTimeout. These two parameters must be set by the supplier and/or the device manufacturer. It will be very difficult to set and to manage and add little value to the standard. The blocked reason with the addition of the Task Timeout should suffice. Expected task execution times adds complexity to the standard and it is not clear its value or need.</p>	
Withdrawal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No withdrawal made	GO TO "Related" section
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Withdrawal document received by staff on XXXX	GO TO "Final" → (A)
Related	Motion and Reason	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	"Related" is mutually agreed upon.
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	*This motion can be appended to the motion for Persuasive (See Persuasive Section)
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Negative is not related (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)
		Reason	XXXX
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
Discussion			
Result of Vote (check ONE)	<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is related] > 1/3	GO TO "Persuasive"
	<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is not related] < 2/3	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	2/3=< [Negative is not related]	GO TO "Final" → (B)
	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Persuasive	Motion and Reason	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related and persuasive (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related and not persuasive (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)
		Reason	We totally agree to your comment. So, in order to prevent confusion, we have decided to remove these new EPTracker objects from the ballot.
	Motion by/2nd by	You-Jin Park (Chung-Ang Univ.)/ JongSub Shim (ASM)	
	Discussion	None	
Result of Vote (check ONE)	12-0		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is related and persuasive] > 1/3	GO TO "Final" → (E)

		[Negative is related and not persuasive] < 2/3	
		2/3=<[Negative is related and not persuasive] <90%	GO TO “Final” → (C)
		90% =< [Negative is related and not persuasive]	GO TO “Not Significant Finding Option”
Not Significant Finding Option	This option can only be used “if the committee finds a negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action”. (Regulations ¶ 9.5.3.3.2)		
		It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative “not significant”	GO TO → (D)
		It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative “significant”	GO TO → (C)
	Motion	The negative is “not significant”.	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
	Vote	XX-XX Motion passed with simple majority	GO TO → (D)
XX-XX Motion failed with simple majority		GO TO → (C)	
Final	Negative is:		
	(A)	withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)	
	(B)	not related (counted under i in disposition)	
	(C)	related and not persuasive (significant)	
	(D)	not significant (counted under j in disposition)	
	x (E)	related and persuasive	DOCUMENT FAILS
	Comment generated. See comment #x		
A&R	Not approved		
	Reason:		

11 more rejects are also submitted from;

- Cimatrix – Brian Rubow
- AMAT – Frank Kaplan
- IT Innovation – Wontae Kim
- Peer – Alison mckenzie
- Peer – Eberhard Teichmann
- DNS – Yoshihosa Takasaki
- TEL – Tadashi Mochizuki
- HitachiHT – Yuko Toyoshima
- HitachiKokusai – Hiromitsu Matsuda
- Tokyo Seimitsu – Hiroo Unozawa
- Tokyo Seimitsu – Mie Sasaki

4 comments are also submitted from;

- FabConsult-David Bouldin
- Plasmetrex-Dirk Suchland

- Yokogawa-katsutoshi ohata
- Salland-Rob van Wezel

IV. Action for this document

Motion	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review as balloted and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document passed committee review with editorial changes and will be forwarded to the A&R for procedural review.	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and will be returned to the task force for rework.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	This document failed committee review and work will be discontinued.	
Motion by/2nd by		You-Jin Park (Chung-Ang Univ.)/ JongSub Shim (ASM)	
Discussion		None	
Vote		12-0	
Final Action		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Motion passed
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion failed
A&R	<input type="checkbox"/>	Approved	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not approved	
	Reason:		