

Procedural Review Voting Sheet 2011 Cycle 5

REGION: Japan
COMMITTEE: Gases and Facilities Committee
EVENT: Japan Fall Meetings 2011
DATE OF MEETING: 2011/09/29
PLACE OF MEETING: SEMI Japan, Tokyo, Japan
COMMITTEE CO-CHAIRS: Hiromichi Enami/Hitachi High Technologies, Isao Suzuki/MKS Japan
SEMI STAFF: Akiko Yamamoto

A&R Voter: Name/Company
 Date: 200X/MM/DD

I. Document Number & Title

Document 5026B	Revision to SEMI F1-96, Specification for Leak Integrity of High-Purity Gas Piping Systems and Components including Revision to Add a New Subordinate Standard, Specification for Leak Integrity of Ultra-High Purity Gas Piping Systems and Components to SEMI F1
---------------------------	---

II. Tally (Staff to fill in)

Voting Tally: As-cast tally after close of voting period

A minimum of 60% of the voting interests that have voting members within the technical committee must return votes. (Regulations ¶ 9.6.1)

	Return		Distribution		Return Rate	
Yellow	28	÷	46	=	60.9%	≥60%
Lilac & Others	7					
Total Vote	35					
Reject	5					
Accept	15					

A&R		Not approved
		Reason:

III. Rejects

Reject 1 (Stefan Groezinger/ M+W Group)

Negative 1 of Reject 1

Negative	Referenced Section	Note 4		
	Reason	<p>In Note 4 is written: "Express leak rates in kiloPascal-liters per second (kPa-L/sec)".</p> <p>In Table I is written: "Pa·m³/s".</p> <p>This is inconsistent. Decide to use only one of both throughout the whole document. If the first one shall be used, then it shall be corrected to "kPa·l/s".</p>		
Withdrawal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No withdrawal made	GO TO "Related" section	
		Withdrawal document received by staff on XXXX	GO TO "Final" → (A)	
Related	Motion and Reason	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>"Related" is mutually agreed upon.</p> <p>*This motion can be appended to the motion for Persuasive (See Persuasive Section)</p> <p>Negative is related (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)</p> <p>Negative is not related (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)</p>	
			Reason XXXX	
		Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
		Discussion		
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is related] > 1/3	GO TO "Persuasive"
		<input type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is not related] < 2/3	
<input type="checkbox"/>		2/3=< [Negative is not related]	GO TO "Final" → (B)	
<input type="checkbox"/>				
Persuasive	Motion and Reason	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related and persuasive (needs over 1/3 votes to pass)	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Negative is related and not persuasive (needs 2/3 or more votes to pass)	
			Reason Unit should be consistent. Needs to be changed.	
	Motion by/2nd by	Shuji Moriya (Tokyo Electron)/ Yoshifumi Machii (Fujikin)		
	Discussion	None.		
	Result of Vote (check ONE)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[Negative is related and persuasive] > 1/3	GO TO "Final" → (E)
<input type="checkbox"/>		[Negative is related and not persuasive] < 2/3		
<input type="checkbox"/>		2/3=<[Negative is related and not persuasive] <90%	GO TO "Final" → (C)	

		90% =< [Negative is related and not persuasive]	GO TO "Not Significant Finding Option"
Not Significant Finding Option	This option can only be used "if the committee finds a negative not persuasive by a vote equal to or greater than 90% of the persons voting on the action". (Regulations ¶ 9.5.3.3.2)		
		It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative "not significant"	GO TO → (D)
		It is mutually agreed upon to term the negative "significant"	GO TO → (C)
	Motion	The negative is "not significant".	
	Motion by/2nd by	Name (Company)/Name (Company)	
	Vote	XX-XX Motion passed with simple majority	GO TO → (D)
XX-XX Motion failed with simple majority		GO TO → (C)	
Final	Negative is:		
	(A)	withdrawn (counted under h in disposition)	
	(B)	not related (counted under i in disposition)	
	(C)	related and not persuasive (significant)	
	(D)	not significant (counted under j in disposition)	
	X (E)	related and persuasive	DOCUMENT FAILS
	Comment generated. See comment #x		
A&R	Not approved		
	Reason:		